

**MINUTES
CITY COUNCIL REGULAR MEETING
APRIL 9, 2013 - 6:00 p.m.**

CALL TO ORDER

The regular meeting of the City Council of the City of Highland was called to order at 6:00 p.m. by Mayor McCallon at the Donahue Council Chambers, 27215 Base Line, Highland, California.

The invocation was given by Reverend Paul Reed, Immanuel Baptist Church and the Pledge of Allegiance was led by Mayor Pro Tem Lilburn.

ROLL CALL

Present: Lilburn, McCallon, Racadio, Scott
Absent: Timmer

REPORT FROM CLOSED SESSION

No Reportable Action

SPECIAL PRESENTATIONS

Mayor McCallon presented a proclamation proclaiming April as DMV/Donate Life California Month in the City of Highland.

PUBLIC COMMENT

Mr. Gary Underwood stated he is the President of the Homeowners Association at East Highlands Ranch. First of all, he would like to congratulate Councilwoman Scott on being Mother of the Year for Highland. He also wanted to say he noticed in the paper when they had her picture that she had to be in the picture with Councilman Racadio and Mayor McCallon and the rest of the Council. He thinks Highland Community News should have done much better by her. So if she ever needs a picture taken singularly, he would be happy to do that and he will donate that picture at no cost. This may take more than three minutes, but not much more, if he could seek the Council's indulgence. He would like to read a letter which was presented to the Mayor and Council. Dear Honorable Mayor and Council Members, the Association was stunned by the recent position taken by the City through its staff and attorneys. Specifically, the City has taken the position that the lower basin of Elder Gulch drain facilities, directly north of Highland Avenue, as well as the drainage facility directly south of Highland Avenue, are the Flood Control responsibilities of the Association. Such an assertion is in direct contravention to the City of Highland's Flood Plan Management Ordinance, the City's prior flood control of the lower basin over the last seven years, and the Association's March 8, 2000 correspondence that we've enclosed, to the City Council and to the City staff, advising that the

Association does not have the equipment, technical knowledge or funds to maintain any flood control facilities within the Elder Gulch draining system, and will not be responsible for any flood control facilities. Both the City and the Association are aware of that pending inverse condemnation claim brought about by homeowners of Greenspot against the County Flood Control in the City of Highland. The Association is also involved as a plaintiff, a cross defendant and a cross complainant in said litigation. The pending litigation relates to the failure of the Elder Gulch drainage system that occurred on December 2010 causing damage to homeowners along Greenspot, owners within East Highlands Ranch as well as East Highlands Ranch common areas which includes, he might add, flooding and damage to their offices. The Association, the City and the Flood Control District have their respective attorneys involved in this pending litigation and this letter is not intended to impact the impending litigation or any determination by the try or in fact as to the allocation of responsibility for damages caused by the failure of the Elder Gulch drainage system in December 2010. However, consistent with the good relationship the City has had with the Association, this letter seeks the City's assistance in resolving the very important issue impacting the Association and its 7,000 members. For your consideration, the attorneys for the City of Highland have taken the position that the Association is responsible for the present and future maintenance responsibility of the flood control system located in the lower basin, as well as additional drainage areas on the other side of Highland Avenue. Stated instinctively, the City's position does not make sense to suggest that a private, non-profit, mutual benefit corporation would be responsible for a flood control facility, particularly since the Association has no equipment, staff or technical skills to maintain any drainage facility. We also enclose herein the copy of a letter you may have not seen before from the Attorneys representing the City in the pending litigation. Please note that the position taken by the attorneys on page two, second paragraph, wherein this City, wherein the attorney for the City, Michael Deane, states, "the City is mindful of the fact that the HOA has always asserted that it is only responsible for landscaping in the area north of Highland Avenue, referring to the lower basin within the HOA property, and that the City of Highland and San Bernardino County Flood Control District are responsible for maintenance of the channel within the HOA basin. As far as the City knows, none of these agencies have ever agreed to maintain any portion of the property owned by the HOA. The City has consistently disagreed with the HOA position in this regard". In light of the position taken by the attorneys for the City, the Association has been forced to amend its cross-complaint seeking declaratory relief that the Association is not responsible for Flood Control within the Elder Gulch draining systems. Estimates from the Association's attorneys have indicated that the cost for such added litigation will cost both the City and the Association hundreds of thousands of dollars. In these tough economic times, neither the City nor the Association can afford such extraordinary expenses, particularly when the position taken by the City belies any common sense. For example, is the City really taking the position that the Association, without benefit of equipment, staff or technological expertise, would maintain a portion of the Flood Control facilities contained within the Elder Gulch drainage system? How would that be coordinated between the County and the City? Is the City simply going to ignore all prior representations

to the Association that the Association not touch the repairing areas within the Elder Gulch draining system and that the Association has no flood control responses within said areas? Does the City really need to incur these expenses and force the Association, likewise, to expend unnecessary legal fees? Their solution is simple; they ask the City to work with County Flood Control District to at least acknowledge that the Association does not have any drainage or flood control responsibilities for the Elder Gulch drainage system on a perspective basis. Any such acknowledgment would clearly indicate there is no impact or effect on the allocation of liability and damages related to December 2010 flood and mudslide. In closing, the Association is looking to the City to assist the Association in resolving this dilemma. When the City asked the Association to assist in crime prevention, the Association stepped up and helped to fund the Automobile License Plate Recognition Systems for at least three intersections within the City, and you know they are prepared to do a fourth intersection in the future. The City and the Association jointly worked together to solve that problem, and the Association is now reaching out to the City seeking its assistance to solve future maintenance responsibilities for the Elder Gulch drainage system, to confirm the Association has no such maintenance responsibilities, and that such flood control or maintenance responsibility is allocated in some manner between the City and the County Flood Control. They are not asking that there be an agreement between the City and the County as to the allocation of such responsibilities, but to rather just acknowledge an acknowledgement that for future maintenance, the Association has no such responsibility. The Association Board of Directors is available to discuss this matter in more detail with the City Council and/or staff as time is convenient and in a manner consistent with their good relationships. The letter is signed, Sincerely, Gary Underwood, President of the HOA. They are hoping that they can prevent the problems in the future and that they can come to some agreement and they can avoid any unnecessary legal costs; that is the bottom line for them.

CITY COUNCIL CONSENT CALENDAR

A MOTION was made by Councilman Racadio, seconded by Councilwoman Scott, to approve the Consent Calendar as submitted with the exception of Item #4 being pulled for further discussion and also with Mayor Pro Tem Lilburn abstaining from Item #2. Motion carried on a roll call vote, 4-0, with Councilman Timmer being absent.

1. Waive the Reading of All Ordinances
Waived the reading of all Ordinances in their entirety and read by title only.
2. Minutes – March 26, 2013 City Council Regular Meeting
Approved the Minutes as submitted.
3. Claim Consideration – Manuel Gomez
Rejected claim.

5. Treasurer's Report for February
Received and filed the Treasurer's Report for February 2013.

ITEMS PULLED FROM CONSENT CALENDAR

4. Warrant Register

Councilwoman Scott stated it was just to make the Council aware that the very last item on page 11, the boxing equipment for \$5,066.17, that check has been voided because of the wrong amount.

A MOTION was made by Councilwoman Scott, seconded by Councilman Racadio, to approve Warrant Register No. 560 for April 9, 2013, in the amount of \$1,810,301.29 and Payroll of \$71,897.91. Motion carried, 4-0, with Councilman Timmer being absent.

CITY COUNCIL PUBLIC HEARING

6. Public Hearing of the City Council to Consider the "Greenspot Village and Marketplace" Specific Plan (SPR-006-001), Final Environmental Impact Report (ENV-009-003), General Plan Amendment (GPA-009-002), Zone Change (ZC-009-001), and Design Review Applications for Planning Area 1 (PA1) and Planning Area 2 (PA2) (DRB-009-003 and DRB 009-004, respectively)

Mayor McCallon opened the public hearing.

Community Development Director Jaquess stated staff is recommending this item be carried over to the next meeting, because it is being continued as this hearing was not fully noticed. It was noticed in part but not fully. Secondly, the development agreement is still being negotiated between the two parties, meaning the City and the Applicant. So we are recommending the whole item come up for hearing on April 23, 2013.

Mayor McCallon stated if it has not been noticed properly, he can't open the public hearing.

City Attorney Steele stated we open those portions of the hearing that were properly noticed so we don't have to re-notice those.

Councilwoman Scott stated she would like to request a Council workshop before this item when everything gets together and before it comes to the Council. The stack of material that we have, she thinks warrants a workshop of the Council where it would be an open meeting and the Council could have input and ask questions of each other. Otherwise a whole Council meeting will be taken up with this one item with the volume of material.

Mayor McCallon stated he prefers not to have two meetings on the same subject. He is reading the material and doesn't see any need for a workshop to read the material; he's reading it at home. Whatever the pleasure of the Council is, but he doesn't see a reason to have a workshop. We just need to read the material and if we have questions we can ask staff at the hearing.

Councilman Racadio stated maybe at that hearing on the 23rd it can be a pretty light agenda, because it's obviously going to take a long time to go through that. Maybe that would be an appropriate action.

Mayor Pro Tem Lilburn stated she can go either way. She doesn't mind a workshop because obviously this is a huge project for us. Were you thinking because you might have questions for the Planning Commission or stuff like that?

Councilwoman Scott stated yes but if you don't think it's necessary that's fine with her too. She already has a lot of questions.

Mayor McCallon stated so does he, as he's been reading it, and he would prefer to make sure the staff is aware of Council's questions so that when we have our meeting they will have the information ready.

Councilwoman Scott stated one of the nice things about a workshop is we can spread the maps out on the table, we can use the board; that's all right, it doesn't make any difference to her.

Councilman Racadio stated we can do that in the Public Hearing too. He sees this taking a long, long time and it may be more than one Public Hearing.

Councilwoman Scott stated that's why she was thinking a workshop. She was thinking a workshop would cut down time on a Public Hearing.

Councilman Racadio stated he sees Councilwoman Scott's point. If it's a Public Hearing, that if we have a study session a lot of the people who would have come to the Public Hearing won't be able to come to a study session, or won't come because it won't be noticed as a Public Hearing; and so even if it takes us a long time, well if you notice a public hearing it's just going to be another public hearing. He thinks it would be good to have it as a public hearing even if it takes more than one meeting and if it takes a few hours to go through.

Mayor McCallon stated he agrees with Councilman Racadio.

A MOTION was made by Councilman Racadio, seconded by Mayor Pro Tem Lilburn, to continue this item until their April 23, 2013 Public Hearing to allow staff and the Applicant time to complete a Development Agreement related to the subject applications. Motion carried, 4-0, with Councilman Timmer being absent.

7. Abatement Costs for 25596 Jane Street, Highland, California. Property Owners: Richard C. Busse and Zita M. Busse

Mayor McCallon opened the public hearing.

Community Development Director Jaquess stated this is a code enforcement case and has quite a long history as you can tell from the staff report and goes back a couple of years. Staff responded to complaints and the property owner did not clean up the property on their own so staff, following proper procedures, did end up cleaning up the yard at the property to resolve the trash that was stored around the property. There was a quite of bit of work to do, and we incurred about \$14,000 of costs, a little over \$14,000. We asked the property owner to reimburse the City for our costs, when we were done, and they could not do that in one payment but agreed to a payment plan which they did sign. A copy is included in your staff report. They were required to make the first payment in February and they did not make any payment and we are now recommending that we record a lien against the property that will allow us to charge those on the property tax roll, and if they do not pay their taxes after three years we could ask the property to be sold to recoup our expenses.

Mayor Pro Tem Lilburn asked so a \$100 citation lead to \$14,000?

Community Development Director Jaquess stated yes, because we had to clean it up at the City's expense.

Mayor McCallon called for any speaker in favor or in opposition of this item, seeing none; the public hearing is now closed.

Councilwoman Scott asked when you put a lien on the property in this amount, then will additional amounts be included such as the City cost for doing the paperwork to go down and record the lien and so forth?

Community Development Director Jaquess stated yes, that was incorporated into the fee.

Councilman Racadio stated when you look at the amount of work done, it was a huge job. Four 40-yard dumpsters, two tractor trailers to remove 28 tires, 12 televisions, cut down and remove three trees. The citation was issued two years ago and he recommends approving staff recommendation.

A MOTION was made by Councilman Racadio, seconded by Councilwoman Scott, to hear and confirm the costs and expenses that have been expended by the City to abate the public nuisance on the above property, as itemized in the attached letter to the property owners dated October 9, 2012, and Declaration of Byron Miller dated March 12, 2013. Staff recommends the City Council establish a Special Assessment against the above property, which will be collected at the same time and in the same manner as ordinary Municipal taxes. Staff also recommends the City Council approve the recordation of the attached Notice of Lien for Abatement of Public Nuisance in the Office of the San Bernardino County Recorder; a copy of the Special Assessment Lien shall be filed with the Assessor and Tax Collector of San Bernardino County. The property may be sold after three years by the Tax Collector for unpaid delinquent assessments. Motion carried, 4-0, with Councilman Timmer being absent.

RESOLUTION NO. 2013-006

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF HIGHLAND, CALIFORNIA, (1) CONFIRMING AND APPROVING CERTAIN COSTS AND EXPENSES OF ABATEMENT OF A DECLARED PUBLIC NUISANCE ON A PARCEL OF LAND WITHIN THE CITY OF HIGHLAND, AND (2) ESTABLISHING A SPECIAL ASSESSMENT AGAINST SAID PARCEL OF LAND FOR THOSE COSTS AND EXPENSES

CITY COUNCIL LEGISLATIVE

8. 2013 San Bernardino County Legislative Platform

City Manager Hughes gave a brief review of the staff report. Before Council is a draft letter to Supervisor Ramos requesting the Board of Supervisors reconsider this item to be a contract County due to the impact to the City of Highland and San Bernardino County as a whole.

Councilman Racadio stated he knows from speaking to staff at Supervisor Ramos' office and Supervisor Rutherford's, they were unaware of the impact of this language when it was adopted. It seems so innocuous, sponsor Legislation Proposal that would enable San Bernardino County to provide State responsibility area fire protective services as a contract County with CalFire. He would like to congratulate staff for recognizing this and bringing it to Council. He strongly supports this. Is Yucaipa going to take a position on this as well?

City Manager Hughes stated yes they are and it will be at their next Council meeting.

Mayor McCallon stated he spoke with Rutherford and Ramos' staff and they are all trying to find a way to back pedal on this item. It was on consent and it was one of those innocuous things and they weren't aware of the impact.

Councilwoman Scott stated she was a bit confused because City Manager Hughes was alluding to the fact that we would be getting rid of CalFire out of San Bernardino County and that's not the way she read it. She read it that the County of San Bernardino was going to make the contract and it says as a contract County with CalFire and she thought no way, we want our own, we don't want any County involvement here. We are a City.

Administrative Analyst Rissmiller stated a Contract County is a term used in the State Public Resources code and by CalFire. Where CalFire contracts Counties to perform their duties and then CalFire does not have a presence there.

Councilwoman Scott stated she still doesn't want them. She wants the way we are.

Mayor McCallon stated we are very pleased with CalFire. We don't want the County Fire Department in here at all.

A MOTION was made by Mayor Pro Tem Lilburn, seconded by Councilman Racadio, to direct the Mayor to sign the attached draft letter and send the letter to the Board of Supervisors. Motion carried, 4-0, with Councilman Timmer being absent.

9. Landscape Maintenance Agreement with Caltrans (Base Line at SR 210)

Public Works Director/City Engineer Wong gave a brief review of the staff report.

Councilwoman Scott asked that one median is \$200 a month for landscape maintenance? She would like to have a list of all our medians and what each median in the City costs for the landscape maintenance.

Public Works Director/City Engineer Wong stated that median the City would be doing some modification too. It's already in the City's Landscape Maintenance District.

Councilwoman Scott stated at \$200 a month.

Public Works Director/City Engineer Wong stated every year the annual report for the assessment district lists all the costs for each individual LMD location and we could reproduce that portion of it.

Councilwoman Scott stated she would like to have cost of every one of them.

Councilman Racadio asked when will be doing that again?

Public Works Director/City Engineer Wong stated in about three months.

Mayor McCallon asked Councilwoman Scott if she would like to wait for the new report or the old report?

Councilwoman Scott stated she would like the old report and she will compare it with the new one.

A MOTION was made by Councilman Racadio, seconded by Mayor Pro Tem Lilburn, to approve the agreement with Caltrans for landscape maintenance within Caltrans right-of-way on Base Line at SR 210. Motion carried, 3-1, with Councilwoman Scott dissenting and with Councilman Timmer being absent.

10. Change Order Authorization and Contract Amendment for Base Line Town Center Beautification (Cole Avenue to State Route 210)

Public Works Director/City Engineer Wong gave a brief review of the staff report.

Mr. William Kenley stated he has to drive Base Line several times a day. What would really beautify it would be to resurface it and restripe it. Leave the turn lanes alone; abolish them. He is supportive of the Council. Is there any procedure to stop the project once it gets started like this?

Mayor McCallon stated we have expended funds.

Mr. William Kenley asked who will keep it up afterwards?

Mayor McCallon stated we have landscape maintenance districts that will keep it.

Mr. William Kenley stated he's never seen a median be kept up anywhere even in Beverly Hills. Where does it stand now, what is being intended now, to do the whole street?

Mayor McCallon stated we will be discussing that in a few moments after public comments.

Mayor Pro Tem Lilburn stated to Public Works Director/City Engineer Wong that she gets baffled on this. How long has the Base Line Beautification project been in the works?

Public Works Director/City Engineer Wong asked in the planning phase or the construction phase?

Mayor Pro Tem Lilburn stated we've gone through a whole public hearing; we've gone through notifications, four years, six years?

Public Works Director/City Engineer Wong stated probably four to five years. It went to the Council and the Planning Commission joined the meeting for approval of the concept and then it also went to the Design Review Board and City Council for approval of the landscaping and the signs. We had a few public meetings on this.

Mayor Pro Tem Lilburn stated we are trying to beautify our City, and the second we start beautifying it we get this opposition. She thinks its going to look beautiful and she's sure we're not going to leave the streets looking like they are. She's just amazed people think we're going to leave the streets looking like that. She knows Councilwoman Scott does not approve, but it's a beautification project to beautify our western territory of the City. She personally knows we've been working on this for a long time and so people have had plenty of time to come up and make public comments. She thinks we should note that people have had over four years to come and talk to the Council about this project and share their input.

Councilwoman Scott stated the amount that was budgeted for this contract was \$2,249,000 and according to the figures here, we've already expended \$3,010,006. So right now we are \$94,943,687 over the \$2,000,000 budget. Then you put down here \$561,316 is a 100% of the City in addition to the \$555,003. The City by itself, we've already expended \$1,116,319.

City Manager Hughes stated he believes numbers are being double-counted. Maybe Assistant Public Works Director Zamano can give a budget update.

Councilwoman Scott stated you're talking about beautifying, she thinks we could beautify the City if we fixed potholes all over the City instead of doing this.

Mayor Pro Tem Lilburn stated she's not saying we don't fix potholes, but these are two separate types of money.

Councilwoman Scott stated she knows that ,but we keep going up. The scope of the work on this 23% raise that we are voting on, \$96,725 is for additional landscape median. Part of that is in front of the recently constructed Family Dollar Store. Aren't they paying anything like we have other people who come in?

Mayor McCallon stated yes, they are.

Councilwoman Scott stated two project information signs were added to the contract. She doesn't think anyone needs to have information about the street being torn up; they can see it. Planned scope of work, some items were part of the original project but were not included in the bid which, oh well. The decorative lights we have to negotiate; we need to negotiate with Edison. \$58,607, that is. We need some negotiation there. The median landscape lighting, she asked last time what this was and she was told we were going to have standing streetlights down the center as well as little light in the median in the ground. That's item #5, that's \$132,502. She thinks we need to cut some stuff, a whole bunch of stuff. One of the reasons we were told it was necessary is project delay costs, and okay, if you have a contract with somebody, a contract is a contract. You have to honor that contract; you can't keep going back and say oh well we had to hire 10 more people. That's your problem; you shouldn't have bid on it.

Mayor McCallon stated when we delay their contract, he's entitled to compensation for the delay.

City Manager Hughes stated that was because of the whole issue with prevailing wage, and cost materials go up, and that all had to do with it being a Federal project and we had to go through some additional Federal hoops that we didn't expect and it delayed the project.

Councilwoman Scott stated she thinks we have a lot of unnecessary additions.

City Manager Hughes stated he would also like to point out on the new scope of work, Item A1, the additional landscape median. That was taken to the Public Works Subcommittee and that was done as a cost savings measure rather than going out to bid again and adding it at a later time which would substantially increase the cost.

Councilwoman Scott asked so why wasn't that a contract itself with the same people instead of being added on?

City Manager Hughes stated because then we would have to bid it out and you could end up with another contractor bringing their whole crew and double work.

Mayor Pro Tem Lilburn stated she was driving eastbound on Base Line and thinking what a dump some areas have become; that people don't take pride in their property on the west side. When you drive up the hill it's gorgeous because they've had the development come in and pay as they go, but the older part of Highland doesn't have that development. So we don't get to enjoy the benefits, because when they come in they do the street improvements we don't get to see that over here. So when she was driving and got to Dairy Queen she could see the difference the beautification was actually going to make to our City. We will get to enjoy the benefits of how pretty our streets will look and our medians and enjoy the special street lighting. When people who don't like this, some people don't like change and clearly we are going to see this, but in the long run it will beautify our City. She knows we have to spend money now, but she thinks as the years come, and she doesn't like to spend this money either, but for beautification for our City it's well needed and deserved.

City Manager Hughes stated we need to clarify the budget amount.

Assistant Public Works Director Zamano stated as far as the budget, one thing to mention is we actually have two parts into this project. We have the Base Line beautification work that we are doing, but we are also rehabbing the roadway. So when he looked at the budget, and in looking at these figures, these figures represent both projects, both accounts for the efforts. That's what the amount is budgeted in the staff report. The \$2,249,000, that's what it represents, the cumulative of both parts of this project which have now been folded into one.

Councilwoman Scott asked is the \$2,000,000 just the beautification part, or it includes the beautification and the other?

Assistant Public Works Director Zamano stated it includes both.

Councilwoman Scott stated then we're over. \$1,505,000 for the safety and beauty and you take that away from the \$2,000,000 and then you can take away \$650,000 from the State grant and then you take \$300,000 from the Federal Highway safety.

City Manager Hughes stated to Councilwoman Scott that she is double-counting. What is being asked for is a change order up to 25% which would make a new contract amount of \$2.5 million; that's what we are asking for. That's what the cost of the project will be is \$2,583,919. That is the cost of the project plus the \$49,000 increase for Willdan for construction management services which would a total of \$260,477,000. It's not \$3 million.

Councilman Racadio stated he thinks it's a good day when we can leverage about half a project with money outside the City coming in to help us, the grants. He thinks staff did a good job in getting these grants, and it did result in almost a year delay which increased the cost. He thinks the Council has shown a lot of foresight and courage in doing this knowing there were going to be a lot of complaints about it. He knows it's uncomfortable as you drive down and can't make left turns, and even afterwards there will be some times where you can't turn where you used to turn, but overall it's a benefit to the community. He's glad to be a part of this.

Councilwoman Scott stated she thinks we can cut, and the median landscape lighting is one big chunk we could cut, so she's going to vote no.

A MOTION was made by Councilman Racadio, seconded by Mayor Pro Tem Lilburn, to authorize contract change orders up to 25% of the original amount of construction contract with Sequel Contractors, Inc., for the Base Line Town Center Beautification (Cole Avenue to State Route 210); and to approve amendment to Professional Contract Services with Willdan Engineering for construction management and inspection services in an amount not to exceed \$49,172. Motion carried, 3-1, with Councilwoman Scott dissenting and with Councilman Timmer being absent.

11. Update on SANBAG, SCAG, Omnitrans, Work Program and Regional/Legislative Issues/Development Issues/Subcommittees/AB 1234 Updates

Mayor McCallon stated he did attend last week's League of California Cities Policy Committee Meetings in Pasadena. Prior to the Policy Committee meetings, there were updates on budget and a bunch of other things. He has provided each Council member a copy of what was handed out there.

Mr. Gary Underwood stated at the corner of Base Line and Church Avenue there is an Omnitrans pick up place for people to catch the bus; it's on the north side of the street. On the Southside of the street we have sidewalks, but on the north side of the street there are no sidewalks, just gravel. If you walk across the street you're walking on gravel, and then you walk down about 25 yards to the Omnitrans and it is gravel with no place to sit, no cover, no nothing. People who live in East Highlands Ranch who want to catch the bus have to walk across the street into the dirt, down the dirt and just stand there.

Mayor Pro Tem Lilburn asked are you suggesting a possible change of the stop?

Mr. Underwood is suggesting maybe some beautification.

Public Works Director/City Engineer Wong stated this particular location is one of the locations that the City has received funding from SANBAG through an Article Three grant last year to be used in several parts of the City. This is one of those locations. The idea is for the City to do the curb/gutter and then build a concrete sidewalk from the corner to the bus stop so that it will be ADA access to the bus stop.

12. San Bernardino International Airport Authority and IVDA

None

ANNOUNCEMENTS

April 13	Community Trails Day
April 25	Arbor Day
April 11	Senior Center Annual Golf Tournament

CLOSED SESSION

None

ADJOURN

There being no further business, Mayor McCallon adjourned the meeting at 6:56 p.m.

Submitted By:

Approved By:

Betty Hughes, MMC
City Clerk

Larry McCallon
Mayor